

# REPRESENTATION STACKS, D-BRANES AND NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY

LIEVEN LE BRUYN

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove that the  $\text{spec}(C)$ -points of the representation Artin-stack  $[\mathbf{rep}_n R/PGL_n]$  of  $n$ -dimensional representations of an affine  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$  correspond to  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra morphisms  $R \longrightarrow A_n$  where  $A_n$  is an Azumaya algebra of degree  $n$  over  $C$ . We connect this to the theory of D-branes and Azumaya noncommutative geometry, developed by Chien-Hao Liu and Shing-Tung Yau in a series of papers [9]-[14].

## 1. REPRESENTATION STACKS

Throughout, all algebras will be associative, unital  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras which are finitely generated, that is, have a presentation

$$R = \frac{\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle}{(p_i(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid i \in I)}$$

With  $\mathbf{rep}_n R$  we will denote the affine scheme of all  $n$ -dimensional representations of  $R$ . Its coordinate ring is the quotient of the polynomial algebra in all entries of  $k$  generic  $n \times n$  matrices

$$X_i = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11}(i) & \dots & x_{1n}(i) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n1}(i) & \dots & x_{nn}(i) \end{bmatrix}$$

modulo the ideal generated by all the matrix-entries of the  $n \times n$  matrices  $p_i(X_1, \dots, X_k)$  for all  $i \in I$ . That is,

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{rep}_n R) = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_{ij}(l) : 1 \leq i, j \leq n, 1 \leq l \leq k]}{(p_i(X_1, \dots, X_k)_{uv} : 1 \leq u, v \leq n, i \in I)}$$

The affine group scheme  $GL_n$  (or rather  $PGL_n$ ) acts via simultaneous conjugation on the generic matrices  $X_i$  and hence on the affine scheme  $\mathbf{rep}_n R$ , its orbits corresponding to isomorphism classes of  $n$ -dimensional representations of  $R$ . It is well-known that the GIT-quotient  $\mathbf{rep}_n R/PGL_n$ , that is the affine scheme corresponding to the ring of polynomial invariants  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{rep}_n R)^{PGL_n}$ , classifies isomorphism classes of *semi-simple*  $n$ -dimensional representations of  $R$ , see [18] or [7, Chp. 2].

In order to investigate all orbits, one considers the  $n$ -dimensional *representation stack*  $[\mathbf{rep}_n R/PGL_n]$ . By this we mean (following [2]) the category with objects all triples  $(Z, P, \phi)$  where  $Z$  a scheme over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\pi_P : P \longrightarrow Z$  a  $PGL_n$ -torsor in the

étale topology

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbf{rep}_n R \\ \downarrow \pi_P & & \\ Z & & \end{array}$$

and with  $\phi$  a  $PGL_n$ -equivariant map. Morphisms in this category are pairs  $(f, h) : (Z, P, \phi) \longrightarrow (Z', P', \phi')$  where  $f : Z \longrightarrow Z'$  is a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbf{rep}_n R & & \\ \downarrow \pi_P & \searrow h & & \nearrow \phi' & \\ Z & & P' & & \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \pi_{P'} & & \\ & & Z' & & \end{array}$$

and  $h$  is a  $PGL_n$ -equivariant map such that  $P \simeq Z \times_{Z'} P'$  and  $\phi = \phi' \circ h$ .

The full subcategory consisting of all triples  $(Z, P, \phi)$  with fixed  $Z$  are called the  $Z$ -points of the stack and are denoted by  $[\mathbf{rep}_n R/PGL_n](Z)$ . Observe that this subcategory is a groupoid, that is, all its morphisms are isomorphisms.

**Theorem 1.** *For a commutative affine  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $C$ , the  $\mathbf{spec}(C)$ -points of the representation stack  $[\mathbf{rep}_n R/PGL_n]$  are in natural one-to-one correspondence with  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra morphisms  $R \longrightarrow A_n$  where  $A_n$  is an Azumaya algebra of degree  $n$  with center  $C$ .*

Before we can prove this result, we need to recall some facts on Cayley-Hamilton algebras, see [18] and [7], and on Azumaya algebras, see [3] and [5].

Every  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$  has a universal trace map  $n_R : R \longrightarrow R/[R, R]_v$  where  $[R, R]_v$  is the sub-vectorspace of  $R$  spanned by all commutators  $[r, s] = rs - sr$  in  $R$ . It allows us to define the *necklace functor*

$$\oint : \mathbf{alg} \longrightarrow \mathbf{commalg}$$

which assign to any  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$  its necklace algebra  $\oint R = \mathit{Sym}(\frac{R}{[R, R]_v})$  where for any  $\mathbb{C}$ -vectorspace  $V$  we denote by  $\mathit{Sym}(V)$  the symmetric algebra on  $V$ .

With  $\mathbf{alg@}$  we denote the category of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras *with trace*. That is, a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$  belongs to  $\mathbf{alg@}$  if it has a linear map  $tr : R \longrightarrow R$  satisfying the following properties for all  $r, s \in R$

$$\begin{cases} tr(r)s = str(r) \\ tr(rs) = tr(sr) \\ tr(tr(r)s) = tr(r)tr(s) \end{cases}$$

In particular, it follows that the image of the trace map is contained in the center  $Z(R)$ . Morphisms in  $\mathbf{alg@}$  are trace preserving  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra morphisms. The forgetful functor  $\mathbf{alg@} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{alg}$  has a left adjoint, called the *trace algebra functor*

$$\int : \mathbf{alg} \longrightarrow \mathbf{alg@} \quad R \mapsto \int R = \oint R \otimes R$$

with the trace map on  $\int R$  defined by  $tr(c \otimes r) = cn_R(r) \otimes 1$ , see [7]. That is, for any  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$  and any  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with trace  $A$ , there is a natural one-to-one correspondence

$$Hom_{\mathbf{alg}\mathbb{Q}}(\int R, A) \longrightarrow Hom_{\mathbf{alg}}(R, A) \quad \text{given by} \quad f \mapsto f \circ n_R$$

Let  $M \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a diagonalizable matrix with distinct eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ , then the characteristic polynomial has as coefficients the elementary symmetric functions  $\sigma_i = \sigma_i(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ . Another generating set of the ring of symmetric functions is given by the power sums  $s_i = \lambda_1^i + \dots + \lambda_n^i$ , hence there exist uniquely determined polynomials  $p_i$  such that

$$\sigma_i(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = p_i(s_1, \dots, s_n) = p_i(Tr(M), \dots, Tr(M^i))$$

because  $s_i = Tr(M^i)$ .

This allows us to define for an algebra with trace  $(R, tr_R) \in \mathbf{alg}\mathbb{Q}$  the formal Cayley-Hamilton polynomial of degree  $n$  for all elements  $a \in R$  by

$$\chi_a^{(n)}(t) = t^n + p_1(tr_R(a))t^{n-1} + p_2(tr_R(a), tr_R(a^2))t^{n-2} + \dots + p_n(tr_R(a), \dots, tr_R(a^n))$$

With  $\mathbf{alg}\mathbb{Q}n$  we denote the category of all Cayley-Hamilton algebras of degree  $n$ , that is, having as its objects algebras with trace map  $(R, tr_R)$  satisfying  $tr_R(1) = n$  and  $\chi_a^{(n)}(a) = 0$  for all  $a \in R$ , and, trace preserving  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra maps as morphisms. We have functors

$$\begin{cases} \int_n : \mathbf{alg} \longrightarrow \mathbf{alg}\mathbb{Q}n & R \mapsto \frac{\int R}{(tr_R(1) - n, \chi_a^{(n)}(a) \forall a \in \int R)} \\ \oint_n : \mathbf{alg} \longrightarrow \mathbf{commalg} & R \mapsto tr_{\int_n R}(\int_n R) \end{cases}$$

The main structural results on the trace algebras  $\int_n R$  and  $\oint_n R$  and their invariant theoretic interpretation are summarized in the next theorem, due to Claudio Procesi. For a proof and more details the reader is referred to [7, Chp. 2] or to the original paper [18].

**Theorem 2** (Procesi). *Let  $R$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. Then, with notations as before*

- (1)  $\oint_n R$  is an affine commutative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra
- (2)  $\int_n R$  is a finitely generated module over  $\oint_n R$
- (3)  $\oint_n R$  is the coordinate ring of the GIT-quotient scheme  $\mathbf{rep}_n R / PGL_n$
- (4)  $\int_n R$  is the ring of all  $PGL_n$ -equivariant maps  $\mathbf{rep}_n R \longrightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$

Azumaya algebras form an important class of Cayley-Hamilton algebras. For a  $C$ -algebra  $R$  denote its enveloping algebra  $R^e = R \otimes_C R^{op}$ , where  $R^{op}$  is the  $C$ -algebra with opposite multiplication map. There is a natural  $C$ -algebra morphism

$$j_R : R^e = R \otimes_C R^{op} \longrightarrow End_C(R) \quad j_R(a \otimes b)r = arb$$

**Definition 1.** *A  $C$ -algebra  $A$  is called an Azumaya algebra iff  $A$  is a finitely generated projective  $C$ -module and the map  $j_A$  is an isomorphism of  $C$ -algebras.*

It follows that the center  $Z(A)$  of  $A$  is equal to  $C$  and that  $A/\mathfrak{m}A \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C})$  for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $C$  where  $n^2$  is the local rank of  $A$  at  $\mathfrak{m}$ . If the local rank is constant and equal to  $n^2$  we say that  $A$  is an Azumaya algebra of degree  $n$ . We recall the geometry associated to  $C$ -Azumaya algebras of degree  $n$ .

**Lemma 1.**  *$C$ -Azumaya algebras of degree  $n$  are classified up to  $C$ -algebra isomorphism by the étale cohomology group  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\text{spec}(C), PGL_n)$ , that is,  $C$ -Azumaya algebras of degree  $n$  correspond to principal  $PGL_n$ -fibrations over  $\text{spec}(C)$ .*

*Proof.* It is well known (see for example [5]) that  $A$  is a  $C$ -Azumaya algebra of degree  $n$  if and only if there exist étale extensions  $C \longrightarrow D$  splitting  $A$ , that is, such that  $A \otimes_C D \simeq M_n(D)$ . As  $\text{Aut}(M_n(D)) = PGL_n(D)$ , the isoclasses of such algebras are classified by the claimed cohomology group, see for example [16].  $\square$

The principal  $PGL_n$ -fibration corresponding to the degree  $n$   $C$ -Azumaya algebra  $A$  is the representation scheme

$$\text{rep}_n A \longrightarrow \text{rep}_n A / PGL_n = \text{spec}(C)$$

as all finite dimensional simple  $A$ -representation have to be  $n$ -dimensional because  $A/\mathfrak{m}A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . By étale descent,  $A$  has a reduced trace map with  $\text{tr}(A) = C$ , and hence we deduce from theorem 2 that  $A \in \text{alg} \circ \mathfrak{n}$  and

$$\int_n A = A \quad \text{and} \quad \oint_n A = C$$

We have now all the tools needed to prove theorem 1.

**Proof of theorem 1 :** Every  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra morphism  $\beta : R \longrightarrow A_n$  induces a  $PGL_n$ -equivariant map

$$\beta^* : \text{rep}_n A_n \longrightarrow \text{rep}_n R$$

by composition. By the above remarks we know that the GIT-quotient

$$\text{rep}_n A_n \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{rep}_n A_n / PGL_n = \text{spec}(C)$$

is a  $PGL_n$ -torsor. Therefore  $\beta : R \longrightarrow A_n$  determines the  $\text{spec}(C)$ -point of the representation stack

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{rep}_n A_n & \xrightarrow{\beta^*} & \text{rep}_n R \\ \downarrow \pi & & \\ \text{spec}(C) & & \end{array}$$

Conversely,  $PGL_n$ -torsors over  $\text{spec}(C)$  are classified by the pointed set

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\text{spec}(C), PGL_n)$$

which also classifies the isomorphism classes of Azumaya algebras of degree  $n$  with center  $C$ , see for example [16, p. 134]. Hence, any  $\text{spec}(C)$ -point of the representation stack  $[\text{rep}_n R / PGL_n]$  is of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{rep}_n A_n & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \text{rep}_n R \\ \downarrow \pi & & \\ \text{spec}(C) & & \end{array}$$

Taking  $PGL_n$ -equivariant maps to  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  on both sides of the  $PGL_n$ -equivariant map  $\phi$  gives us by theorem 2 and the remarks above a trace preserving algebra

morphism

$$\int_n R \xrightarrow{\phi_*} \int_n A_n = A_n$$

and composing this with the universal morphism  $R \longrightarrow \int_n R$  we obtain the desired  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra morphism  $R \xrightarrow{\beta} A_n$  which induces  $\phi = \beta^*$ .

## 2. ALGEBRAIC D-BRANES

In this section we relate the above to the description of D-branes via Azumaya noncommutative geometry as developed by Chian-Hao Liu and Shing-Tung Yau in [9]-[14].

Let the affine variety  $X$  be an affine open piece of (a spatial slice of) space-time and let  $Z$  be a closed subscheme giving the boundary conditions for the endpoints of open strings (a D-brane). Wrapping one brane around  $Z$  gives a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundle (or a  $U(1)$ -symmetry) and the embedding of the D-brane in space-time  $X$  corresponds to the quotient map of the corresponding coordinate rings

$$\beta_1 : \mathcal{O}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z)$$

However, if there are  $n$  branes wrapped around  $Z$ , then  $Z$  comes equipped with a  $GL_n$ -bundle  $P$  (or a  $U(n)$ -symmetry) and the so called *Polchinski Ansatz*, see for example [9], asserts that the embedding of the  $n$ -stack of branes in space time is now governed by a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra morphism

$$\beta : \mathcal{O}(X) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}(Z)}(P)$$

where  $P$  is the so-called Chan-Patton bundle on  $Z$ . Observe that  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}(Z)}(P)$  is a trivial Azumaya algebra of degree  $n$  with center  $\mathcal{O}(Z)$  and hence the embedding morphism  $\beta$  gives a  $Z$ -point of the representation stack  $[\mathbf{rep}_n \mathcal{O}(X)/PGL_n]$ .

In more general situations, for example when the  $B$ -field is turned on, one may replace the trivial Azumaya algebra  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}(Z)}(P)$  by a non-trivial Azumaya algebra  $A_n$  of degree  $n$  with center  $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ . Sometimes, one even allows for a noncommutative space time  $R$ . Motivated by this, we formalize D-branes in a purely algebraic context.

**Definition 2.** *For  $R$  an affine  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and  $Z$  an affine scheme we will call a  $Z$ -point of the representation stack*

$$\beta \in [\mathbf{rep}_n R/PGL_n](Z)$$

*an algebraic D-brane of degree  $n$  on  $Z$ .*

The upshot of this interpretation of a D-brane embedding as a  $Z$ -point in the representation stack  $[\mathbf{rep}_n \mathcal{O}(X)/PGL_n]$  (or more generally  $[\mathbf{rep}_n R/PGL_n]$ ) is that one expects good properties of D-branes whenever the representation stack is smooth, in particular when  $\mathbf{rep}_n R$  is a smooth affine variety.

This connects the study of D-branes to that of Cayley-smooth orders and formally smooth algebras as described in detail in the book [7]. Observe that several of the examples worked out by Liu and Yau, such as the case of curves in [9, §4] and [10], or the case of the conifold algebra [12] (see also [8]) fall in this framework.

The dynamical aspect of D-branes, that is their Higgsing and un-Higgsing behavior as in [9] can also be formalized purely algebraically by enhancing the category  $\mathbf{alg}$  of all  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras with a specific class of 2-morphisms.

**Definition 3.** A 2-morphism between two  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra morphisms  $f$  and  $g$

$$R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \Downarrow \alpha \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} S$$

is a  $Z(S)$ -algebra mono-morphism between the centralizers in  $S$  of the images

$$\alpha : C_S(\text{Im}(f)) \hookrightarrow C_S(\text{Im}(g))$$

If such a 2-morphism exists we say that  $f$  degenerates to  $g$  or, equivalently, that  $g$  deforms to  $f$ .

In the case of algebraic D-branes, that is when  $S = A_n$  is an Azumaya algebra of degree  $n$  with center  $C = \mathcal{O}(Z)$ , these 2-morphisms correspond to the notions of 'Higgsing' and 'un-Higgsing' as in [9]. Here, the idea is that the Lie-algebra structure of the centralizer  $C_A(\text{Im}(\beta))$  can be interpreted as the gauge-symmetry group of the D-brane. Higgsing corresponds to symmetry-breaking, that is the gauge-group becomes smaller or, in algebraic terms, a 2-morphism deformation. Likewise, un-Higgsing corresponds to a 2-morphism degeneration of the algebraic D-brane.

**Example 1.** There are two extremal cases of algebraic D-branes. The 'maximal' ones coming from epimorphisms

$$R \xrightarrow{\beta} A$$

If  $C$  is the center of  $A$  such a D-brane determines (and is determined by) a  $\text{spec}(C)$ -family of simple  $n$ -dimensional representations of  $R$ . At the other extreme, 'minimal' algebraic D-branes are given by a composition

$$\beta : R \xrightarrow{\pi} C \xrightarrow{i} A$$

where  $C$  is a commutative quotient of  $R$  and  $A$  is an Azumaya algebra over  $C$ . Such D-branes essentially determine a  $\text{spec}(C)$ -family of one-dimensional representations of  $R$ .

For a maximal D-brane  $\beta_{\max} : R \longrightarrow A$  we have  $C_A(\text{Im}(\beta_{\max})) = Z(A)$  whence for any other algebraic D-brane  $g : R \longrightarrow A$  we have a two-cell and hence a degeneration

$$R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\beta_{\max}} \\ \Downarrow \alpha \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} A$$

Likewise, if  $\beta_{\min} : R \longrightarrow C \hookrightarrow A$  is a minimal D-brane we have  $C_A(\text{Im}(\beta_{\min})) = A$  whence for any other D-brane we have a two-cell and corresponding deformation

$$R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \Downarrow \alpha \\ \xrightarrow{\beta_{\min}} \end{array} A$$

Note however that these 2-morphisms do *not* equip  $\mathbf{alg}$  with a 2-category structure as defined for example in [15, XII.3]. Indeed, whereas we have an obvious vertical composition of 2-morphisms there is in general no horizontal composition of 2-morphisms.

Still, these 2-morphisms impose a natural compatibility structure on families of algebraic D-branes. In string-theory one often considers the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of  $n$  stacks of D-branes located at a subscheme  $Z \hookrightarrow X$ , that is, a family of algebra morphisms  $\beta_n : \mathbb{C}[X] \longrightarrow A_n$  where  $A_n$  is a degree  $n$  Azumaya algebra with center  $\mathbb{C}[Z]$ . Our ringtheoretical considerations suggest one needs to impose a multiplicative compatibility requirement on such families.

**Definition 4.** *A family of algebraic D-branes*

$$\beta_n : R \longrightarrow A_n$$

such that  $Z(A_n) = C$  for all  $n$  is said to be compatible if for every  $n|m$  we have a  $C$ -monomorphism  $i_{nm} : A_n \hookrightarrow A_m$  and a corresponding 2-morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\beta_m} & \\ R & \Downarrow \alpha & A_m \\ & \xleftarrow{i_{nm} \circ \beta_n} & \end{array}$$

That is, the algebraic D-brane  $\beta_m$  corresponding to the  $m$  stack of D-branes at  $\text{spec}(C)$  is a Higgsing of all D-branes  $R \longrightarrow A_n \hookrightarrow A_m$  for all divisors  $n$  of  $m$ .

We will give an example of a non-trivial example of a family of algebraic D-branes which are all neither minimal nor maximal. Consider the 2-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{C}[s^\pm, t^\pm]$  and a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity  $q_n = \sqrt[n]{1}$ . The quantum torus is the non-commutative algebra generated by two elements  $U_n$  and  $V_n$  (and their inverses) satisfying the relations

$$V_n U_n = q_n U_n V_n \quad U_n^n = s \quad V_n^n = t$$

and we will denote this algebra as  $\mathbb{C}_{q_n}[U_n^\pm, V_n^\pm]$ . The center of this algebra is easily seen to be  $\mathbb{C}[s^\pm, t^\pm]$  and in fact  $\mathbb{C}_{q_n}[U_n^\pm, V_n^\pm]$  is an Azumaya algebra over it of degree  $n$ . When  $m = n.k$  there are obvious embeddings of  $\mathbb{C}[s^\pm, t^\pm]$ -algebras

$$i_{m,n} : \mathbb{C}_{q_n}[U_n^\pm, V_n^\pm] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{q_m}[U_m^\pm, V_m^\pm] \quad \begin{cases} U_n \longrightarrow U_m^k \\ V_n \longrightarrow V_m^k \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.** *Consider the coordinate ring of  $GL_2 = \begin{bmatrix} s & u \\ v & t \end{bmatrix}$*

$$\mathcal{O}(GL_2) = \mathbb{C}[s, t, u, v, (st - uv)^{-1}]$$

then the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra morphisms  $\beta_n : \mathcal{O}(GL_2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{q_n}[U_n^\pm, V_n^\pm]$  defined by

$$\beta = (\beta_n) : \begin{cases} u \mapsto 0 \\ v \mapsto 0 \\ s \mapsto s \\ t \mapsto V_n \end{cases}$$

is a compatible family of algebraic D-branes on the maximal torus  $T_2 \hookrightarrow GL_2$ .

*Proof.* Clearly the maps  $\beta_n$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra morphisms from  $\mathcal{O}(GL_2)$  to the Azumaya algebra  $\mathbb{C}_{q_n}[U_n^\pm, V_n^\pm]$  whence they are algebraic D-branes. Remains for every  $m = n.k$  to compare the centralizers of the images at level  $m$  with those of the image with the inclusion  $i_{m,n}$ . One easily verifies that

$$\text{Im}(\beta_m) = \mathbb{C}[s^\pm, V_m^{\pm k}] \quad \text{whereas} \quad \text{Im}(i_{m,n} \circ \beta_n) = \mathbb{C}[s^\pm, V_m^{\pm k}]$$

The centralizer of  $Im(\beta_m)$  is equal to itself, whereas

$$C(Im(i_{m,n} \circ \beta_n)) = \mathbb{C}[s^\pm, V_m^{\pm k}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[s^\pm, t^\pm]} \mathbb{C}_{q_k}[U_m^{\pm n}, V_m^{\pm n}]$$

and so these form indeed a compatible family of algebraic D-branes on  $T_2$ .  $\square$

### 3. AZUMAYA NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY

The main problem studied in the papers [9]-[14] by Chien-Hao Liu and Shing-Tung Yau is to associate some noncommutative geometry to the morphism  $\beta : \mathcal{O}(X) \longrightarrow A_n$  (or more generally  $\beta : R \longrightarrow A_n$ ) describing the embedding of the n-stack of D-branes in space-time. That is, one wants to associate geometric objects (such as varieties, topological spaces and sheaves on these) that will allow us to reconstruct  $\beta$  from the geometric data.

**3.1. Noncommutative structure sheaves.** We will rephrase Liu and Yau's Azumaya noncommutative geometry, based on 'surrogates' and the 'noncommutative cloud', in classical noncommutative algebraic geometry of pi-algebras, as developed by Fred Van Oystaeyen and Alain Verschoren [23] in the early 80-ties.

Let  $S$  be a finitely generated Noetherian  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra satisfying all polynomial identities of degree  $n$ . Observe that  $\int_n R$ ,  $A_n$  and its subalgebras

$$A_c = Im(\beta)C \quad \text{and} \quad A_e = Im(\beta)C_A(Im(\beta))$$

all satisfy these requirements. With  $\mathbf{spec}(S)$  we denote the set of all twosided prime ideals of  $S$  which becomes a topological space by equipping it with the Zariski topology having as typical closed sets  $\mathbb{V}(I) = \{P \in \mathbf{spec}(S) \mid I \subset P\}$  for  $I$  a twosided ideal of  $S$ . However, this twosided prime spectrum is not necessarily functorial, that is, if  $S \xrightarrow{f} T$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra morphism between suitable algebras, then  $f^{-1}(P)$  for a twosided prime ideal  $P \triangleleft T$  does not have to be a prime ideal in  $S$ . Still, the twosided prime spectrum is functorial whenever  $S \xrightarrow{f} T$  is an *extension*, that is, if  $T = Im(f)C_T(Im(f))$  by [17, Thm. II.6.5].

In [23, V.3] Van Oystaeyen and Verschoren constructed a noncommutative structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_S^{bi}$  on  $\mathbf{spec}(S)$  by means of localization in the category of  $S$ -bimodules. The main properties of this construction are [23, Thm. V.3.17 and Thm. V.3.36]

- One recovers the algebra back from the global sections  $S = \Gamma(\mathbf{spec}(S), \mathcal{O}_S^{bi})$
- Every extension  $S \xrightarrow{f} T$  defines a morphism of ringed spaces

$$(\mathbf{spec}(T), \mathcal{O}_T^{bi}) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{spec}(S), \mathcal{O}_S^{bi})$$

In fact, the results are stated there only for prime Noetherian rings satisfying all polynomial identities of degree  $n$  but extend to the case of interest here as our rings are finite modules over an affine center and hence we have enough central localizations. For more details, see [24].

An algebraic D-brane  $R \xrightarrow{\beta} A$  of degree  $n$  is not necessarily an extension, but the morphisms below defined by it are :

$$\int_n R \xrightarrow{\beta} A_c = Im(\beta)C \quad \text{and} \quad \int_n R \xrightarrow{\beta} A_e = Im(\beta)C_A(Im(\beta))$$

**Theorem 3.** *Associate to the D-brane either of these noncommutative geometric data*

$$(\mathbf{spec}(A_*), \mathcal{O}_{A_*}^{bi}) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{spec}(\int_n R), \mathcal{O}_{\int_n R}^{bi})$$



It contains enough information to reconstruct  $\beta : \int_n R \longrightarrow A$  by taking global sections, and hence by compositing with the universal morphism  $R \longrightarrow \int_n R$  also the D-brane.

The fact that noncommutative prime spectra and bimodule structure sheaves behave only functorial with respect to  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra morphisms which are extensions, explains the notion of a 'noncommutative cloud' in [9]. In our language, the noncommutative cloud of a  $C$ -Azumaya algebra  $A$  is the set

$$\text{cloud}(A) = \bigsqcup_{A_*} \text{spec}(A_*)$$

where the disjoint union of noncommutative prime spectra is taken over all subalgebras  $A_*$  satisfying

$$C \subset Z(A_*) \subset A_* \subset A$$

and such subalgebras are called 'surrogates' in [9]. The point being that we can now associate to an algebraic D-brane of degree  $n$ ,  $R \xrightarrow{\beta} A$  the partially defined map which is well defined because  $\int_n R \longrightarrow A_e$  is an extension

$$\text{cloud}(A) \longleftarrow \text{spec}(A_e) \longrightarrow \text{spec}\left(\int_n R\right)$$

and by adorning  $\text{cloud}(A)$  componentwise with noncommutative structure sheaves  $\mathcal{O}_{A_*}^{bi}$ , this partially defined map contains enough information to reconstruct the D-brane.

**3.2. Noncommutative thin schemes.** In [6, §I.2] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman define a *noncommutative thin scheme* to be a covariant functor commuting with finite projective limits

$$\mathbf{X} : \text{fd-alg} \longrightarrow \text{sets}$$

from the category  $\text{fd-alg}$  of all finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras to the category  $\text{sets}$  of all sets. They prove [6, Thm. 2.1.1] that every noncommutative thin scheme is represented by a  $\mathbb{C}$ -coalgebra. That is, there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -coalgebra  $C_{\mathbf{X}}$  associated to the noncommutative thin scheme  $\mathbf{X}$  having the property that there is a natural one-to-one correspondence

$$\mathbf{X}(B) = \text{alg}(B, C_{\mathbf{X}}^*)$$

for every finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $B$ . Here,  $C_{\mathbf{X}}^*$  is the dual algebra of all linear functionals on  $C_{\mathbf{X}}$ .  $C_{\mathbf{X}}$  is called the *coalgebra of distributions* on  $\mathbf{X}$  and the *noncommutative algebra of functions* on the thin scheme  $\mathbf{X}$  is defined to be  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{X}] = C_{\mathbf{X}}^*$ . For a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$ , it is not true in general that the linear functionals  $R^*$  are a coalgebra, but the *dual coalgebra*  $R^o$  is, where

$$R^o = \{f \in R^* \mid \text{Ker}(f) \text{ contains a twosided ideal of finite codimension} \}$$

Kostant duality, see for example [21, Thm. 6.0.5], asserts that the functors

$$\text{alg} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\circ} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{*} \end{array} \text{coalg}$$

are adjoint. That is, for every  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ -coalgebra  $C$  there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between the homomorphisms

$$\text{alg}(R, C^*) = \text{coalg}(C, R^o)$$

For an affine  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$  we define the contravariant functor

$$\mathbf{rep}_R : \mathbf{fd-coalg} \longrightarrow \mathbf{sets} \quad C \mapsto \mathbf{alg}(R, C^*)$$

describing the finite dimensional representations of  $R$ , see [6, Example 2.1.9]. As taking the linear dual restricts Koszul duality to an anti-equivalence between the categories  $\mathbf{fd-alg}$  and  $\mathbf{fd-coalg}$ , we can describe  $\mathbf{rep}_R$  as the noncommutative thin scheme represented by the dual coalgebra  $R^\circ$  as

$$\mathbf{rep}_R : \mathbf{fd-alg} \longrightarrow \mathbf{sets} \quad B = C^* \mapsto \mathbf{alg}(R, B) = \mathbf{coalg}(C, R^\circ)$$

**Definition 5.** *The noncommutative affine scheme  $\mathbf{rep}_R$  is the noncommutative thin scheme represented by the dual coalgebra  $R^\circ$  of the affine  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$ .*

By [21, Lemma 6.0.1] for every  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra morphism  $f \in \mathbf{alg}(R, B)$ , the dual map determines a  $\mathbb{C}$ -coalgebra morphism  $f^* \in \mathbf{coalg}(B^\circ, R^\circ)$ . In particular, to an algebraic D-brane  $\beta : R \longrightarrow A$  we can associate a morphism between their noncommutative affine schemes

$$\beta^* : \mathbf{rep}_A \longrightarrow \mathbf{rep}_R$$

determined by the coalgebra map  $\beta^* : A^\circ \longrightarrow R^\circ$ .

**Theorem 4.** *The morphism  $\beta^* : \mathbf{rep}_A \longrightarrow \mathbf{rep}_R$  between the noncommutative thin schemes allows to reconstruct the algebraic D-brane  $\beta : R \longrightarrow A$ .*

In order to prove this we need to describe the dual coalgebras  $A^\circ$  and  $R^\circ$ . Recall that a coalgebra  $D$  is said to be simple if it has no proper nontrivial sub-coalgebras. Every simple  $\mathbb{C}$ -coalgebra is finite dimensional and as  $D^*$  is a simple  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra, we have that  $D \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C})^*$ , the full matrix coalgebra that is,  $\sum_{i,j} \mathbb{C}e_{ij}$  with

$$\Delta(e_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n e_{ik} \otimes e_{kj} \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon(e_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$$

The coradical  $\mathit{corad}(C)$  of a coalgebra  $C$  is the direct sum of all simple sub-coalgebras of  $C$ . It follows from Kostant duality that for any affine  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$  we have

$$\mathit{corad}(R^\circ) = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathbf{simp}R} M_n(\mathbb{C})_S^*$$

where  $\mathbf{simp}R$  is the set of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional simple  $R$ -representations and the factor of  $\mathit{corad}(R^\circ)$  corresponding to a simple representation  $S$  is isomorphic to the matrix coalgebra  $M_n(\mathbb{C})^*$  if  $\dim(S) = n$ .

In algebra, one can resize idempotents by Morita-theory and hence replace full matrices by the basefield. In coalgebra-theory there is an analogous duality known as *Takeuchi equivalence*, see [22]. The isotypical decomposition of  $\mathit{corad}(R^\circ)$  as an  $R^\circ$ -comodule is of the form  $\bigoplus_S C_S^{\oplus n_S}$ , the sum again taken over all simple finite dimensional  $R$ -representations. Take the  $R^\circ$ -comodule  $E = \bigoplus_S C_S$  and its *coendomorphism coalgebra*

$$R^\dagger = \mathit{coend}^{R^\circ}(E)$$

then Takeuchi-equivalence (see for example [1, §4, §5] and the references contained in this paper for more details) asserts that  $R^\circ$  is Takeuchi-equivalent to the coalgebra  $R^\dagger$  which is *pointed*, that is,  $\mathit{corad}(R^\dagger) = \mathbb{C} \mathbf{simp}(R) = \bigoplus_S \mathbb{C}g_S$  with one *group-like* element  $g_S$  for every simple finite dimensional  $R$ -representation. Remains to describe the structure of the full basic coalgebra  $R^\dagger$ .

**Example 2.** For the affine Azumaya algebra  $A$  of degree  $n$  over its affine center  $C$ , we know that all finite dimensional simple  $A$ -representations are  $n$ -dimensional and are parametrized by the maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbf{max}(C)$ . That is,  $\text{corad}(A^\circ) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m}} M_n(\mathbb{C})^*$  and  $A^\circ$  is Takeuchi-equivalent to the pointed coalgebra

$$A^\dagger = C^\circ \quad \text{with} \quad \text{corad}(A^\dagger) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{C}g_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

By [21, Prop. 8.0.7] we know that any cocommutative pointed coalgebra is the direct sum of its pointed irreducible components (at the algebra level this says that a commutative semi-local algebra is the direct sum of local algebras). Therefore,

$$A^\dagger = C^\circ = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m}} C_{\mathfrak{m}}^0$$

where  $C_{\mathfrak{m}}^0$  is a pointed irreducible cocommutative coalgebra and as such is a sub-coalgebra of the enveloping coalgebra of the abelian Lie algebra on the Zariski tangent space  $(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^*$ . That is, we recover the maximal spectrum  $\mathbf{max}(C)$  of the center  $C$  from  $A^\dagger$ . But then, the dual algebra

$$A^{\dagger*} = C^{\circ*} = \prod_{\mathfrak{m}} \hat{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

the direct sum of the completions of  $C$  at all maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Also, the double dual algebra

$$A^{\circ*} = \prod_{\mathfrak{m}} M_n(\hat{C}_{\mathfrak{m}})$$

For a general affine noncommutative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$ , the description of the pointed coalgebra  $R^\dagger$  is more complicated as there can be non-trivial extensions between non-isomorphic finite dimensional simple  $R$ -representations (note that this does not happen for Azumaya algebras).

For a (possibly infinite) quiver  $\vec{Q}$  we define the *path coalgebra*  $\mathbb{C}\vec{Q}$  to be the vectorspace  $\bigoplus_p \mathbb{C}p$  with basis all oriented paths  $p$  in the quiver  $\vec{Q}$  (including those of length zero, corresponding to the vertices) and with structural maps induced by

$$\Delta(p) = \sum_{p=p'p''} p' \otimes p'' \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon(p) = \delta_{0,l(p)}$$

where  $p'p''$  denotes the concatenation of the oriented paths  $p'$  and  $p''$  and where  $l(p)$  denotes the length of the path  $p$ . Hence, every vertex  $v$  is a group-like element and for an arrow  $\odot \xrightarrow{a} \oslash$  we have  $\Delta(a) = v \otimes a + a \otimes w$  and  $\epsilon(a) = 0$ , that is, arrows are skew-primitive elements.

For every natural number  $i$ , we define the *ext<sup>i</sup>-quiver*  $\overrightarrow{\text{ext}}_R^i$  to have one vertex  $v_S$  for every  $S \in \mathbf{simp}(R)$  and such that the number of arrows from  $v_S$  to  $v_T$  is equal to the dimension of the space  $\text{Ext}_R^i(S, T)$ . With  $\text{ext}_R^i$  we denote the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vectorspace on the arrows of  $\overrightarrow{\text{ext}}_R^i$ .

The *Yoneda-space*  $\text{ext}_R^\bullet = \bigoplus \text{ext}_R^i$  is endowed with a natural  $A_\infty$ -structure [4], defining a linear map (the *homotopy Maurer-Cartan map*, [20])

$$\mu = \bigoplus_i m_i : \mathbb{C}\overrightarrow{\text{ext}}_R^1 \longrightarrow \text{ext}_R^2$$

from the path coalgebra  $\mathbb{C}\overrightarrow{\text{ext}}_R^1$  of the  $\text{ext}^1$ -quiver to the vectorspace  $\text{ext}_R^2$ , see [4, §2.2] and [20].

**Theorem 5.** *The dual coalgebra  $R^o$  is Takeuchi-equivalent to the pointed coalgebra  $R^\dagger$  which is the sum of all subcoalgebras contained in the kernel of the linear map*

$$\mu = \oplus_i m_i : \mathbb{C}\overrightarrow{\text{ext}}_R^1 \longrightarrow \text{ext}_R^2$$

*determined by the  $A_\infty$ -structure on the Yoneda-space  $\text{ext}_R^\bullet$ .*

*Proof.* We can reduce to finite subquivers as any subcoalgebra is the limit of finite dimensional subcoalgebras and because any finite dimensional  $R$ -representation involves only finitely many simples. Hence, the statement is a global version of the result on finite dimensional algebras due to B. Keller [4, §2.2].

Alternatively, we can use the results of E. Segal [20]. Let  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  be distinct simple finite dimensional  $R$ -representations and consider the semi-simple module  $M = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_r$  which determines an algebra epimorphism

$$\pi_M : R \longrightarrow M_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{n_r}(\mathbb{C}) = B$$

If  $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ker}(\pi_M)$ , then the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion  $\hat{R}_\mathfrak{m} = \varprojlim R/\mathfrak{m}^n$  is an augmented  $B$ -algebra and we are done if we can describe its finite dimensional (nilpotent) representations. Again, consider the  $A_\infty$ -structure on the Yoneda-algebra  $\text{Ext}_R^\bullet(M, M)$  and the quiver on  $r$ -vertices  $\overrightarrow{\text{ext}}_R^1(M, M)$  and the homotopy Maurer-Cartan map

$$\mu_M = \oplus_i m_i : \mathbb{C}\overrightarrow{\text{ext}}_R^1(M, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(M, M)$$

Dualizing we get a subspace  $\text{Im}(\mu_M^*)$  in the path-algebra  $\mathbb{C}\overrightarrow{\text{ext}}_R^1(M, M)^*$  of the dual quiver. Ed Segal's main result [20, Thm 2.12] now asserts that  $\hat{R}_\mathfrak{m}$  is Morita-equivalent to

$$\hat{R}_\mathfrak{m} \underset{M}{\sim} \frac{(\mathbb{C}\overrightarrow{\text{ext}}_R^1(M, M)^*)^\wedge}{(\text{Im}(\mu_M^*))}$$

where  $(\mathbb{C}\overrightarrow{\text{ext}}_R^1(M, M)^*)^\wedge$  is the completion of the path-algebra at the ideals generated by the paths of positive length. The statement above is the dual coalgebra version of this.  $\square$

**Proof of theorem 4 :** The morphism between the thin noncommutative schemes

$$\beta^* : \text{rep}_A \longrightarrow \text{rep}_R$$

corresponds to the coalgebra-map dual to  $\beta$

$$\beta^o : A^o \longrightarrow R^o$$

Dualizing this map again we obtain a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra map, and composing with the natural map  $R \longrightarrow R^{o*}$  and the observations of example 2 we obtain an algebra map

$$R \longrightarrow R^{o*} \xrightarrow{\beta^{o*}} A^{o*} = \prod_{\mathfrak{m}} M_n(\hat{C}_\mathfrak{m})$$

the components of which are the maps from the global sections of the structure sheaf of  $R$  in the étale topology to the stalks of the structure sheaf of  $A$  in the étale topology, induced by  $\beta$ . By étale descent we can therefore reconstruct the algebraic D-brane  $\beta : R \longrightarrow A$  from them.

## REFERENCES

- [1] William Chin, *A brief introduction to coalgebra representation theory*, in "Hopf Algebras" M. Dekker Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. (2004) 109-132. Online at <http://condor.depaul.edu/wchin/crt.pdf>
- [2] Aise Johan de Jong, The Stacks Project, *Algebraic stacks - Examples*
- [3] Frank De Meyer and E. Ingraham, *Separable algebras over commutative rings*, Springer LNM **181** (1970)
- [4] Bernhard Keller, *A-infinity algebras in representation theory*, Contribution to the Proceedings of ICRA IX, Beijing (2000). Online at <http://www.math.jussieu.fr/keller/publ/art.dvi>
- [5] Max-Albert Knus and Manuel Ojanguren, *Théorie de la Descente et Algèbres d'Azumaya*, Springer LNM **389** (1974)
- [6] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman, *Notes on  $A_\infty$ -algebras,  $A_\infty$ -categories and non-commutative geometry I*, arXiv:math.RA/0606241 (2006)
- [7] Lieven Le Bruyn, *Noncommutative geometry and Cayley-smooth orders*, Pure and applied mathematics **290**, Chapman & Hall (2008)
- [8] Lieven Le Bruyn and Stijn Symens, *Partial desingularizations arising from non-commutative algebras*, arXiv:0507494 (2005)
- [9] Chien-Hao Liu and Shing-Tung Yau, *Azumaya-type noncommutative spaces and morphisms therefrom : Polchinski's D-branes in string theory from Grothendieck's viewpoint*, arXiv:0709.1515 (2007)
- [10] Si Li, Chien-Hao Liu, Ruifang Song and Shing-Tung Yau, *Morphisms from Azumaya prestackable curves with a fundamental module to a projective variety: Topological D-strings as a master object for curves*, arXiv:0809.2121 (2008)
- [11] Chien-Hao Liu and Shing-Tung Yau, *Azumaya structure on D-branes and resolution of ADE orbifold singularities revisited: Douglas-Moore vs. Polchinski-Grothendieck*, arXiv:0901.0342 (2009)
- [12] Chien-Hao Liu and Shing-Tung Yau, *Azumaya structure on D-branes and deformations and resolutions of a conifold revisited: Klebanov-Strassler-Witten vs. Polchinski-Grothendieck*, arXiv:0907.0268 (2009)
- [13] Chien-Hao Liu and Shing-Tung Yau, *Nontrivial Azumaya noncommutative schemes, morphisms therefrom, and their extension by the sheaf of algebras of differential operators: D-branes in a B-field background à la Polchinski-Grothendieck Ansatz*, arXiv:0909.2291 (2009)
- [14] Chien-Hao Liu and Shing-Tung Yau, *D-branes and Azumaya noncommutative geometry: From Polchinski to Grothendieck*, arXiv:1003.1178 (2010)
- [15] Saunders Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Springer Graduate Texts in Math. 5, 2nd edition (1997)
- [16] James S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton Math. Series **33** (1980)
- [17] Claudio Procesi, *Rings with polynomial identities*, Pure and Appl. Math. **17** Marcel Dekker (1973)
- [18] Claudio Procesi, *A formal inverse to the Cayley-Hamilton theorem*, J. Alg. **107** (1987) 63-74
- [19] Claudio Procesi, *Deformations of representations*, Methods in ring theory (Levico Terme, 1997), 247-276, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **198**, Marcel Dekker (1998)
- [20] Ed Segal, *The  $A_\infty$  deformation theory of a point and the derived category of local Calabi-Yaus*, math.AG/0702539 (2007)
- [21] Moss E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, monograph, W.A. Benjamin (New York) (1969)
- [22] M. Takeuchi, *Morita theorems for categories of comodules*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 24 (1977) 629-644
- [23] Fred Van Oystaeyen and Alain Verschoren, *Noncommutative algebraic geometry*, Springer LNM **887** (1981)
- [24] Fred Van Oystaeyen, *Algebraic geometry for associative algebras*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **232** Marcel Dekker (2000)