

On a Theorem of G. M. Bergman

L. Le Bruyn*

*Dept. Wiskunde & Informatica
Universiteit Antwerpen (UIA), Belgium*

July 1991 – 91-26

Abstract

A short proof is presented of a result of G. Bergman [1] on rational identities of matrices.

AMS-Classification

16R50

Keywords

Rational Identities

* Research Associate of the NFWO

On a theorem of G.M. Bergman

Lieven Le Bruyn*

Departement Wiskunde en Informatica
Universiteit Antwerpen (UIA), Belgium

April 22, 1991

Abstract

A short proof is presented of a result of G. Bergman [1] on rational identities of matrices.

Example 1 (L.H. Rowen [3]) *Consider the rational expression in non-commutative variables*

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = [[x_1, x_2]^2, x_3] \cdot [[x_1, x_2], x_3]^{-1} \quad (1)$$

If we specialize the x_i to generic 4×4 generic matrices X_i , then $F^2 = f(X_1, X_2, X_3)^2 \in UD(4)$ has degree 2 over the center $K(4)$ of the generic division algebra $UD(4)$. So,

$$c_5(1, F^2, F^4, X_4, X_5) = 0 \in UD(4) \quad (2)$$

where c_j is the j -th Capelli polynomial [3, p.12]. On the other hand, if we specialize the x_i to generic 3×3 matrices, then F^2 can be shown to be noncentral in $UD(3)$ whence it has to be of degree 3 over the center. But then

$$c_5(1, F^2, F^4, X_4, X_5) \neq 0 \in UD(3) \quad (3)$$

*Research associate of the NFWO

Hence, rational identities holding in $UD(n)$ do not have to hold in $UD(m)$ when $m < n$. This situation was studied by G. Bergman [1] who proved the following fundamental result :

Theorem 1 (Bergman) *Let n and m_1, \dots, m_k be positive integers. Then, there exists a rational identity for $UD(n)$ not holding in any of the $UD(m_i)$ if and only if*

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \cdot m_i \quad (4)$$

has no solution in integers $a_i \geq 0$.

In this note we aim to give a short elementary proof of this result.

Definition 1 *An affine algebra Λ is called **special** if it has a presentation in terms of generators and relation as*

$$\Lambda = k \langle x_1, \dots, x_k; y_1, \dots, y_l \rangle / (y_i \cdot p_i - 1; 1 \leq i \leq l) \quad (5)$$

where each p_i is a noncommutative polynomial in $x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}$. The **inversion depth** of Λ , $idt(\Lambda)$, is the minimal number l required for a special presentation of Λ .

From now on Λ will be special with a given minimal presentation as above. With $\text{def}(\Lambda)$ we denote the set of positive integers n s.t. specializing x_i to a generic $n \times n$ matrix X_i induces a well defined algebra morphism $\pi_n : \Lambda \rightarrow UD(n)$. Equivalently, $\text{def}(\Lambda)$ consists of those integers n s.t. none of the p_i occurring in the given presentation of Λ is a rational identity for $UD(n)$ when viewed as a rational expression in the x_i .

Observation 1 *$\text{def}(\Lambda)$ is an additive sub-semigroup of \mathbb{N} .*

Proof : It is easy to see that $\text{def}(\Lambda)$ is precisely the set of integers n such that Λ has an n -dimensional representation. \square

With $\text{gen}(\Lambda)$ we will denote the set of semigroup generators of $\text{def}(\Lambda)$.

Observation 2 *If $n \in \text{gen}(\Lambda)$ then $\pi_n(\Lambda) \subset UD(n)$ is an Azumaya algebra.*

Proof : If $n \in \text{gen}(\Lambda)$, Λ has only irreducible n -dimensional representations. Now use the Artin-Procesi result. \square

Example 2 Let $g_n(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be a central identity for $n \times n$ matrices and consider the special affine algebra

$$\Lambda = k \langle x_1, \dots, x_k, z \rangle / (z \cdot g_n(x_1, \dots, x_k) - 1) \quad (6)$$

Then, $\text{def}(\Lambda) = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m\}$ and $\text{gen}(\Lambda) = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m \leq 2n - 1\}$.

Observation 3 Let $n \in \text{gen}(\Lambda)$ and $m_1 < \dots < m_s \in \text{def}(\Lambda) - \{n\}$. Then, there exists $r \in \Lambda$ s.t. $\pi_n(r) = 0 \in UD(n)$ and $\pi_{m_j}(r) \neq 0 \in UD(m_j)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Proof : As $\pi_n(\Lambda)$ is an azumaya algebra we can find $R_{ij}, S_i \in \pi_n(\Lambda)$ and central identities for $n \times n$ matrices g_i such that

$$1 = \sum_i g_i(R_{ij})S_i \in UD(n) \quad (7)$$

see [2, Ch. 8]. Lifting R_{ij} (resp. S_i) to elements r_{ij} (resp. s_i) of Λ we can take

$$r_0 = 1 - \sum_i g_i(r_{ij})s_i \in \Lambda \quad (8)$$

Then, $\pi_n(r_0) = 0 \in UD(n)$ and $\pi_{m_i}(r_0) = 1 \neq 0 \in UD(m_i)$ for all $m_i < n$. Let m_t be the smallest m_i such that $\pi_{m_t}(r_0) = 0 \in UD(m_t)$ then we can take $r_1 = r_0 + c_{i_t}$ where c_{i_t} is a central identity for $m_t \times m_t$ matrices. Repeat this process until one reaches m_s . \square

Observation 4 Let $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_s$ be generators of a sub semigroup of \mathbb{N}_+ . For every $a \geq 1$ there exists a special affine algebra Λ with $\text{idt}(\Lambda) \leq a$ such that $S \subset \text{def}(\Lambda)$ and $S \cup [0, an_1] = \text{def}(\Lambda) \cup [0, an_1]$.

Proof : We use induction on a , the case $a = 1$ being accounted for by example 2 if $n = n_1$. Assume the statement holds for $a - 1$ i.e. we have a special affine algebra Λ' with $\text{idt}(\Lambda') \leq a - 1$ s.t. $S \subset \text{def}(\Lambda')$ and $\text{def}(\Lambda') \cup [0, (a - 1)n_1] = S \cup [0, (a - 1)n_1]$.

Let $\{m_1, \dots, m_z\} = ((a-1)n_1, an_1] \cup \text{def}(\Lambda) - \text{gen}(S)$. Each m_i is seen to be in $\text{gen}(\Lambda)$ so by the trick of the foregoing proof we can find for each m_i an element $r_i \in \Lambda'$ s.t. $\pi_{m_i}(r_i) = 0$ and $\pi_{m_j}(r_i) \neq 0$ if $j \neq i$. Take

$$\Lambda = \Lambda \langle z \rangle / (z.r_1 \dots r_z - 1) \quad (9)$$

and check that this algebra has the properties required. \square

In particular, if S is a sub semigroup of \mathbb{N}_+ with $\text{gcd}(S) = 1$, then there is a special affine algebra Λ with $\text{def}(\Lambda) = S$.

We will now show that the bound given on the inversion depth of Λ is the best possible.

Observation 5 *Let $\text{idt}(\Lambda) = a$, $(a+1)m < n$ and $r \in \Lambda$ such that $\pi_n(r) = 0 \in \text{UD}(n)$. Then, either $m \notin \text{def}(\Lambda)$ or $\pi_m(r) = 0 \in \text{UD}(m)$.*

Proof : We use induction on a , the case $a = 0$ is the classical result on polynomial identities. Hence assume the result holds for $b < a$.

Suppose we have $\text{idt}(\Lambda) = a, r \in \Lambda$ s.t. $\pi_n(r) = 0$, $m \in \text{def}(\Lambda)$ and $\pi_m(r) \neq 0$. We claim that $n - m \in \text{def}(\Lambda)$. If not, one of the p_i in the presentation of Λ would be a rational identity for $\text{UD}(n - m)$ and $p_i \in \Lambda'$ where $\text{idt}(\Lambda) < a$. By induction, $\pi_m(p_i) = 0 \in \text{UD}(m)$ contradicting the assumption that $m \in \text{def}(\Lambda)$.

If m and $n - m \in \text{def}(\Lambda)$ we can specialize each x_i to a block matrix with top left corner a generic $m \times m$ matrix and bottom right corner a generic $n - m \times n - m$ matrix and the other entries zero. This algebra map from Λ factors through $\pi_n(\Lambda)$ so the image of r has to be zero. Looking at the top left corner this implies that $\pi_m(r) = 0$, a contradiction. \square

The last argument shows that if $n = \sum_i a_i m_i$ for integers $a_i \geq 0$ and $r \in \Lambda$ with $\{n, m_1, \dots, m_s\} \subset \text{def}(\Lambda)$, then $\pi_n(r) = 0$ implies $\pi_{m_i}(r) = 0$ for all i .

As a consequence, we obtain the following extension of the classical p.i.-result

Corollary 1 *Let $r \in \Lambda$ with $\text{idt}(\Lambda) \leq a$ be a rational identity of $\text{UD}(n)$. If $(a+1).m < n$, then r or one of its rational subexpressions is a rational identity for $\text{UD}(m)$.*

References

- [1] G. Bergman : Rational relations and rational identities in division rings I and II, J. Alg. 43 (1976) 252-266 and 267-297
- [2] C. Procesi : "Rings with Polynomial Identities", Marcel Dekker (1973)
- [3] L.H. Rowen : "Polynomial Identities in Ring Theory", Acad.Press (1980)