

The Functional Equation for Poincaré Series
of Trace Rings of Generic 2×2 -Matrices.

ABSTRACT :

In this note we give a rational expression for the Poincaré series of $\Pi_{m,2}$, the trace ring of m generic 2×2 -matrices. This result extends the computations of E. Formanek for $m \leq 4$.

As a consequence, we prove that the Poincaré series satisfies the functional equation :

$$P(\Pi_{m,2}, \frac{1}{t}) = -t^{4m} \cdot P(\Pi_{m,2}, t)$$

supporting the conjecture that $\Pi_{m,2}$ is a Gorenstein ring.

1. THE RATIONAL EXPRESSION.

Throughout this note, k will be a field of characteristic zero. With R we will denote the polynomial ring $k[x_{ij}(1); 1 \leq i,j \leq n; 1 \leq l \leq m]$. The sub k -algebra of $M_n(R)$ generated by the elements $\{x_l = (x_{ij}(l))_{i,j}\}$ is $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}$, the ring of m generic $n \times n$ -matrices. Adjoining to it the traces of all its elements we obtain the trace ring $\Pi_{m,n}$ of m generic $n \times n$ -matrices, see for example [1], [5]. If $\deg(x_{ij}(1)) = 1$ for all i,j and 1, then $\Pi_{m,n}$ is a positively graded k -algebra $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (\Pi_{m,n})_i$. Its Poincaré series is then the formal power series over \mathbb{Z} :

$$P(\Pi_{m,n}; t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \dim_k((\Pi_{m,n})_i) \cdot t^i$$

Similarly, if $\deg(x_{ij}(1)) = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) = e_1$, then $\Pi_{m,n}$ is a $\mathbb{N}^{(m)}$ -graded k -algebra. Its Poincaré series in this multigradation is then :

$$P(\Pi_{m,n}; t_1, \dots, t_m) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m)} \dim_k((\Pi_{m,n})_{(i_1, \dots, i_m)}) \cdot t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_m^{i_m}$$

For $n = 2$, a power series expansion for the Poincaré series was given by C. Procesi [7] and in the multigradation by E. Formanek [2].

We will now give a rational expression for $P(\Pi_{m,2}; t_1, \dots, t_m)$ using some 60 years old results due to H. Weyl [10, p. 11 and p. 17] and I. Schur [8].

Let $R_{m,n}$ denote the center of $\Pi_{m,n}$. In [6] Procesi has proved that the map

$$f \mapsto \text{Tr}(f \cdot X_{m+1})$$

defines a monomorphism from $\Pi_{m,n}$ onto the subspace of $R_{m+1,n}$ consisting of all elements of degree one in X_{m+1} , i.e. $(i_1, \dots, i_m) (R_{m+1,n})^{(i_1, \dots, i_m, 1)}$.

Translating to Poincaré series, this means that $P(\Pi_{m,n}; t_1, \dots, t_m)$ is the coefficient of t_{m+1} in the power series expansion of $P(R_{m+1,n}; t_1, \dots, t_m, t_{m+1})$ or equivalently.

$$P(\Pi_{m,n}; t_1, \dots, t_m) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{m+1}} P(R_{m+1,n}; t_1, \dots, t_m, t_{m+1}) \Big|_{t_{m+1}=0} = 0$$

If $n = 2$ one can give a fairly precise description of $R_{m+1,2}$, [7].

Recall from [7] that $R_{m+1,2}$ is the ring of invariant polynomial mapping from $m+1$ copies of $M_2(k)$ under the componentwise action by conjugation of $6L_2(k)$. Now, $M_2(k)$ decomposes in the direct sum $k \oplus M^0$ with M^0 the 3-dimensional vector space of trace zero matrices. Therefore, $R_{m+1,2}$ is the polynomial ring in the elements $\text{Tr}(X_1), \dots, \text{Tr}(X_{m+1})$ over the ring of invariants of $m+1$ copies of M^0 under induced action of $6L_2(k)$,

$$R_{m+1,2}^0.$$

M is endowed with the nongenerate quadratic form $\text{Tr}(A^2)$, thus $6L_2(k)$ acts on M^0 inducing the full group $\text{SO}(M^0)$ of special orthogonal transformations for the form $\text{Tr}(A^2)$. Therefore, $R_{m+1,2}^0$ is the ring of special orthogonal invariants of $m+1$ copies of the standard representation.

The composition of the Poincaré series in the multigradation of this ring was carried out by H. Weyl [10,p.17] and I. Schur [8].

They found the following rational expression :

$$P(R_{m+1,2}^0; t_1, \dots, t_{m+1}) = \frac{[1+t_1^{2m-1}, \dots, t_{m+1}^{m-2} + t_{m+1}^{m+1}; t_1^{m-1}, t_{m+1}^m]}{\prod_{i \leq k} (t_k - t_i) \cdot \prod_{i \leq k} (1-t_i t_k)}$$

where the numerator of this expression denotes the determinant of the following $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ -matrix :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1+t_1^{2m-1} & 1+t_2^{2m-1} & \dots & 1+t_{m+1}^{2m-1} \\ t_1^{m-2} + t_1^{m+1} & t_2^{m-2} + t_2^{m+1} & \dots & t_{m+1}^{m-2} + t_{m+1}^{m+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ t_1^{m-1} & t_2^{m-1} & \dots & t_{m+1}^{m-1} \\ t_1^m & t_2^m & \dots & t_{m+1}^m \end{bmatrix}$$

Combining the facts we get :

$$P(\Pi_{m,2}; t_1, \dots, t_m) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{m+1}} \frac{[1+t_1^{2m-1}, \dots, t_{m+1}^{m-2} + t_{m+1}^{m+1}; t_1^{m-1}, t_{m+1}^m]}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+1} (1-t_j) \prod_{i \leq k} (t_k - t_i) \prod_{i \leq k} (1-t_i t_k)} \Big|_{t_{m+1}=0} \quad (\star)$$

Calculating the numerator of the right hand side of (\star) gives :

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j=1}^m (1-t_j) \cdot \prod_{i \leq k}^m (t_k - t_i) \cdot (-1)^m \cdot \prod_{j=1}^m t_j \cdot \prod_{i \leq k}^m (1-t_i t_k) \cdot M_1 - \\ & \left\{ - \prod_{j=1}^m (1-t_j) \cdot \prod_{i \leq k}^m (t_k - t_i) \cdot (-1)^m \cdot \prod_{j=1}^m t_j \cdot \prod_{i \leq k}^m (1-t_i t_k) \right. \\ & + \prod_{j=1}^m (1-t_j) \cdot \prod_{i \leq k}^m (t_k - t_i) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1} t_1 \dots t_j \dots t_m \right) \cdot \prod_{i \leq k}^m (1-t_i t_k) \\ & + \prod_{j=1}^m (1-t_j) \cdot \prod_{i \leq k}^m (t_k - t_i) \cdot (-1)^m \cdot \prod_{j=1}^m t_j \cdot \prod_{i \leq k}^m (1-t_i t_k) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^m -t_j \right) \cdot M_2 \end{aligned}$$

where M_1 and M_2 are defined to be :

$$M_1 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1+t_1^{2m-1} & \dots & 1+t_m^{2m-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \vdots \\ t_1^{m-1}+t_1^{m+1} & \dots & t_m^{m-1}+t_m^{m+1} & 0 \\ t_1^{m-1} & \dots & t_m^{m-1} & 0 \\ t_1^m & \dots & t_m^m & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{m+2} \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} 1+t_1^{2m-1} & \dots & 1+t_m^{2m-1} \\ t_1^{2m-3} & \dots & t_m^{2m-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_1^{m-1}+t_1^{m+1} & \dots & t_m^{m-1}+t_m^{m+1} \\ t_1^{m-1} & \dots & t_m^{m-1} \\ t_1^m & \dots & t_m^m \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{m+2} \cdot \Delta_1$$

$$M_2 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1+t_1^{2m-1} & \dots & 1+t_m^{2m-1} & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \vdots \\ t_1^{m-1}+t_1^{m+1} & \dots & t_m^{m-1}+t_m^m & 0 \\ t_1^{m-1} & \dots & t_m^{m-1} & 0 \\ t_1^m & \dots & t_m^m & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{m+1} \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} t_1^{2m-2} & \dots & t_m^{2m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_1^{m-1}+t_1^{m+1} & \dots & t_m^{m-1}+t_m^{m+1} \\ t_1^{m-1} & \dots & t_m^{m-1} \\ t_1^m & \dots & t_m^m \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{m+1} \cdot \Delta_2$$

Therefore, the numerator is equal to :

$$\prod_{j=1}^m (1-t_j) \cdot \prod_{i<k}^m (t_k - t_i) \cdot \prod_{i \leq k}^m (1-t_i t_k) [e_m \cdot \Delta_1 - (e_m + e_{m-1} + e_1 e_m) \cdot \Delta_2]$$

where e_i denotes the i^{th} elementary symmetric function in m variables.

This finishes the proof of :

THEOREM 1. The Poincaré series of the trace ring of m generic 2×2

matrices has the following rational expression :

$$P(\prod_{m,2} t_1, \dots, t_m) = \frac{e_m \cdot \Delta_1 - (e_m + e_1 \cdot e_m + e_{m-1}) \cdot \Delta_2}{e_m^2 \cdot \prod_{j=1}^m (1-t_j) \cdot \prod_{i<k}^m (t_k - t_i) \cdot \prod_{i \leq k}^m (1-t_i t_k)}$$

2. THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION.

The main result of this section is :

THEOREM 2 : The Poincaré series of the trace ring of m generic 2×2 -matrices satisfies the functional equation :

$$P(\Pi_{m,2}, \frac{1}{t}) = -t^{4m} \cdot P(\Pi_{m,2}; t)$$

PROOF :

We note that :

$$e_m^{2m-1} \cdot \Delta_1\left(\frac{1}{t_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{t_m}\right) = -\Delta_1(t_1, \dots, t_m)$$

$$e_m^{2m-1} \cdot \Delta_2\left(\frac{1}{t_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{t_m}\right) = -\Delta_2(t_1, \dots, t_m)$$

$$e_m^2 \cdot (e_m + e_1 e_m + e_{m-1}) \left(\frac{1}{t_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{t_m} \right) = e_m + e_1 \cdot e_m + e_{m-1}$$

and therefore we get :

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\Pi_{m,2}, \frac{1}{t_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{t_m}) \\ &= \frac{-e_m^{-2m-1} \cdot \{e_m \Delta_1 - (e_m + e_1 e_m + e_{m-1}) \cdot \Delta_2\}}{e_m^{-2m-5} \cdot \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m (t_j - 1) \cdot \prod_{i < h} (t_i - t_k) \cdot \prod_{i \leq h} (t_i t_k - 1) \right\}} \\ &= -e_m^4 \cdot (-1)^{2m+m^2-m} \cdot P(\Pi_{m,2}; t_1, \dots, t_m) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, specializing $t_1 = t_2 = \dots = t_m = t$, we get the desired result

In [3] we have shown that there exists an iterated Ore-extension Γ_m and a natural morphism

$$\pi_m : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \Pi_{m,2}$$

In analogy with the commutative case, we say that $\Pi_{m,2}$ is a Gorenstein ring iff

$$\text{Ext}_{\Gamma_m}^i(\Pi_{m,2}, \Gamma_m) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq 0, \frac{(m-2)(m-3)}{2}$$

$$\text{Ext}_{\Gamma_m}^j(\Pi_{m,2}, \Gamma_m) \cong \Pi_{m,2} \quad \text{for } j = \frac{(m-2)(m-3)}{2}$$

In [4] it is shown that Gorensteinness of $\Pi_{m,2}$ is equivalent to the following :

CONJECTURE : $\Pi_{m,2}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module.

A fact which is very plausible since $\Pi_{m,2}$ is the fixed module of a free module over a regular domain under a reductive group.

As in the commutative case [9], it would follow from the fact that

$\Pi_{m,2}$ is Gorenstein that its Poincaré series satisfies the functional equation

$$P(\Pi_{m,2}; \frac{1}{t}) = (-1)^{\text{Kdim}(\Pi_{m,2})} \cdot t^\alpha \cdot P(\Pi_{m,2}; t)$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$

Because $\text{Kdim}(\Pi_{m,2}) = 4m-3$, Theorem 2 supports the above conjecture.

References.

- [1] Artin, M. On Azumaya algebras and finite dimensional representations of rings, J. Algebra, 11, 532-563 (1969).
- [2] Formanek E., Invariants and the ring of generic Matrices, J. Algebra,
- [3] Le Bruyn L., Homological Properties of Trace Rings of Generic Matrices. Trans Amer. Math. Soc. to appear soon.
- [4] Le Bruyn L.; Are Trace Rings of Generic Matrices Gorenstein, in preparation.
- [5] Procesi C; Rings with polynomial Identities, Pure and Applied Math. 17, Marcel Dekker (1973).
- [6] Procesi C; Trace Identities and Standard Diagrams, Marcel Dekker 51, p. 199-218 (1979).
- [7] Procesi C. Computing with 2x2-matrices, J. of Algebra (1984).
- [8] Schur I., Neue Anwendungen der Integralrechnung auf Probleme der Invariantentheorie II. Ueber die Darstellung der Drehungsgruppe durch lineare homogene Substitutionen. Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 297-321 (1924) also in Gesammelte Abhandlungen II, 460-484, Springer (1978).
- [9] Stanley R., Hilbert Functions of Graded Algebras , Adv. in Math. 28, 25-83, (1978).
- [10] "Zur Darstellungstheorie und Invariationszählung der Projektiven, der Komplex- und der Drehungsgruppe" Akta Math. 48, 255-278 (1926), also in Gesammelte Abhandlungen III, 1-24, Springer (1968).